

Serial No.: 09/520,580  
In reply to Advisory Action mailed: October 6, 2004  
Page 7 of 8

### **Remarks/Arguments**

Claims 9, 18, 21-29 and 31-39 are pending in this application. Claims 1-8, 10-17, 19-20, and 30 have been canceled. The Examiner had previously indicated that claims 9 and 18 were allowable over the art of record. For at least the reasons stated below, Applicants assert that all pending claims are in condition for allowance.

#### **1. Information Disclosure Statement**

In Applicant's Response to Final Office Action (filed on August 16, 2004) the issue of the Information Disclosure Statement was addressed. Specifically, Applicant directed the Examiner's attention to page 2 of the previously filed Dayco statement, in which in column 1 of the table indicates the serial numbers of the related applications are listed. Applicants believe this information addresses the Examiner's concerns and that the Dayco statement should be acceptable. In the Advisory Action, this issue was not specifically addressed. Applicants request confirmation that this issue has been sufficiently resolved.

#### **2. Claim Amendments**

In the Final Office Action, the Examiner indicated that independent claims 9 and 18 were allowable over the prior art of record, and rejected all other pending claims. Applicants filed a Response to the Final Office Action on August 16 submitting claimed amendments and arguments to address all of these rejections. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner considered Applicants' arguments and concluded that they did not place the case in condition for allowance.

In response to the various filings discussed in the preceding paragraph, Applicants have now canceled previously pending independent claims 20 and 30, and amended the certain claims to now depend from claim 9. As indicated above, claim 9 had previously been indicated as allowable. Additionally, new claims were added which all depend from claim 18. These new claims are similar to those previously presented in relation to claim 20, but now depend from allowable claim 18. Consequently, all remaining claims now depend from an allowable base claim and as such should be allowable.

The amendments above should not be construed as a concession or agreement to

Serial No.: 09/520,580  
In reply to Advisory Action mailed: October 6, 2004  
Page 8 of 8

the positions taken by the Examiner with regard to the canceled claims. Applicants reserve the right to further pursue these claims via continuation.

### 3. Conclusion

Applicants respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. In the event a telephone conversation would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner may reach the undersigned at (612) 607-7387. If any fees are due in connection with the filing of this paper, then the Commissioner is authorized to charge such fees, including fees for any extension of time, to Deposit Account No. 50-1901 (Docket 60021-352901).

Respectfully submitted,



Craig J. Lervick, Reg. No. 35,244  
Customer No. 29838

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP  
45 South Seventh Street, Ste. 3300  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
Phone: (612) 607-7387  
Fax: (612) 607-7100